${\sf Ca\$2696:06-09.46384638-SID @ more metral 133} \quad \textbf{Friteed 03862272000} \\ 6 \\ {\sf Page} \\ \text{end } \\ 3 \\ \text{of } \\ 3$

1 2 3 4	SCOTT + SCOTT, LLC ARTHUR L. SHINGLER III (181719) 600 B Street, Suite 1500 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619.233.4565 Facsimile: 619.233.0508	
	Counsel for Plaintiff	
5		
6		
7 8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13		CASE NO.: 06-cv-03468-SI
14	MANFRED HACKER,	
15	Plaintiff,))
16	vs.)) REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF
17) SEPTEMBER 1, 2006 CASE) MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
	DAVID PETERSCHMIDT; DONALD LISTWIN; JOSHUA PACE; STEVEN PETERS;) MANAGEMENT CONTERENCE
18	AL SNYDER; SIMON WILKINSON;)
19	KENNETH DENMAN; BO HEDFORS; GERALD HELD; MASOOD JABBAR;)
20	BERNARD PUCKETT,))
21	Defendants,)
22	-and-)
23	OPENWAVE SYSTEMS, INC.,	
24		
25	Nominal Defendant.)
26)
27		
28		
	Request For Continuance of September 1, 2006 Case Management Conference Stipulation. and [Case No. 06-cv-03468-SI	

Casca3e06:06-03-4658458-SIDobouronemetro:133 FFileed10086/2215/20006PagReageo:23of 3

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the presently scheduled September 1, 2006 Case Management Conference ("CMC") be continued to September 29, 2006 to be held "coextensively with the presently scheduled hearing of that same date on his and shareholder derivative plaintiff Henry Sherupski's Motion to Consolidate Actions and Appoint Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel ("Lead Plaintiff Motion").

On June 29, 2006, the Court scheduled a CMC for September 1, 2006, and ordered the parties to confer in advance thereof to address the agenda items set forth in the Court's June 29 ("CMC Order").

While the parties agree that the CMC should be continued, they are unable to agree on a date. In the absence of an agreement to an acceptable future date for the CMC and in an effort to comply with the Court's CMC Order as to the still-pending September 1 CMC, plaintiff met with defendants on August 21, 2006, and endeavored to discuss the CMC Order agenda items. Asserting that any such discussion is premature and that they lack authority to engage in such discussions, defendants' counsel refused to discuss the CMC agenda items.

Accordingly, plaintiff respectfully requests that the CMC be continued to September 29, 2006, upon which date all parties in all related actions are already scheduled to appear before the Court as to plaintiff's Lead Plaintiff Motion. In addition to all parties being present, the September 29, 2006 date will also allow the parties sufficient time to meaningfully engage in the ordered dialogue concerning the agenda items set forth in the Court's CMC Order.

The Initial Case Management

Conference has been continued to September 29, 2006, at 2:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Arthur L. Shingler III_ ARTHUR L SHINGLER III SCOTT + SCOTT LLC 600 B Street, Suite 1500 San Diego, CA 92101

Attorney for Plaintiff

Request For Continuance Case Management [Case No. 06-cv-03468--S]

-1-

7

8

1

9 10

12 13

11

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on this 21st day of August, 2006, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically and served by mail on anyone unable to accept electronic service. Notice of this filing will be sent by e-mail to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system as indicated on the Notice of Electronic Filing or by mail as required by the Court's CM/ECF rules. Parties may access this filing through the Court's CM/ECF System. /s/ Arthur L. Shingler III ARTHUR L. SHINGLER III (181719)